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Abstract

A decision model for pavement management has been developed herein based on linear programming formulation. Markov transition probability matrices are introduced to model the deterioration process of the road sections. To every type of road surfaces and class of traffic amount belongs a certain Markov matrix. The presented model and methodology is used to determine the optimal rehabilitation and maintenance policy in network level. Depending on the objective function two types of problems could be solved by the model: the necessary funds calculation and the optimal budget allocation for the entire network. We have developed the computer program on microcomputer and it has been used by the Ministry of Transport who is responsible for the 30000 km road network of Hungary.
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1. INTRODUCTION

The current budget condition in the Eastern European Countries needs an effective economical politics. It is true about Hungary too. We try to use the most powerful optimization models in every possible field.

In this paper we present an optimal decision supporting model that is used to maintain our highway network. Its length is 30000 kilometres. The works began some years ago. First a large scale Road Data Bank was developed ( [1] ). The second step was to develop a network level Pavement Management System, PMS ([2], [7], [8], [13]).
Concerning the PMS problem several types of models are known. One of them is the network level model, the other is a project level one. The network level model deals with the whole network. Its aim is to determine the most advantageous maintenance technique for every subset of the road having the same type of surface, the same condition parameters and the same traffic category. This type of model is a budget planning tool capable of estimating the total lengths and costs of works required on the network for pavement rehabilitation, resurfacing and routine maintenance. One type of financial planning is generally connected with the determination of the level funding needed to maintain the health of the pavement network at a desirable level. In the other type of model the available budget is given and we have to determine the maintenance politics that fulfil the required constraint of conditions and optimize the total benefit of the society. In the project level model a maintenance and rehabilitation program are determined for each pavement section. We usually use this model in a district [6].

Several types of solution algorithms can be used depending on the given task, the available data, the budget constraints, etc. ([3], [4], [5]). Two main types are the heuristic and the optimization algorithms. The heuristic technique is usually used in project level, but it could be used in network level too. The optimization models are solved by the traditional optimization algorithms. Depending on the problem to be solved we use integer ([14]), a linear ([11]) or a dynamic programming algorithm ([7]).

Our model is a linear programming one which has some stochastic elements. Namely the road deterioration process is described by the Markov transition probability matrices. In the second chapter we describe this probability supposition. The third chapter deals with the model formulation. In the last chapter we summarise the applied model itself. The engineering part of the model was developed by Gaspar ([9]), the program system was written by Szantai, ([13]). Similar model was proposed in Arizona and in Finland ([14]).

2. Markov transition probability matrix

In the model we will use the theory of Markov chains (Prekopa, [12]). To demonstrate this let us suppose that the pavement conditions are described by a certain discrete state. This state contains a discrete set. Let us denote these states...
by numbers 1, 2,... The change of the system condition in time is probabilistic, and we fix these states in the time $t=1,2,...$

The probabilistic variables $x_0, x_1,...$ are defined in the following way: $x_n=i$ when the system is in state $i$ in time period $t=n$. The system conditions are described by the $x_0, x_1,...$ variables.

We can suppose, that the initial state e.g. $x_0$ is fixed. The set of $x=(x_0, x_1, ...)$ is called a Markov chain, when any integer time set $t_0 < t_1 < ... < t_{n+1}$ and states $k_1, k_2, ..., k_{n+1}$ the following condition is satisfied:

$$P(x_{n+1}=k_{n+1} | x_{t_1}=k_1, x_{t_2}=k_2, ... x_n=k_n)=P(x_{n+1}=k_{n+1} | x_n=k_n)$$

(1).

This condition means that the probability that the system in time $t_{n+1}$ is in state $k_{n+1}$ depends on only the previous state, and independent from the earlier states.

Now we define the Markov transition matrix. The $r$-step homogenous transition probability is defined by

$$q_{ik}^{(r)} = P(x_{n+r}=k | x_n=i)$$

The $q_{ik}^{(r)}$ values are ordered into a matrix $Q_r$ which is called the transition probability matrix.

$$Q_r = \begin{pmatrix}
q_{11}^{(r)} & q_{12}^{(r)} & \cdots \\
q_{21}^{(r)} & q_{22}^{(r)} & \cdots \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots
\end{pmatrix}.$$  

(2)

This matrix is a stochastic matrix because it is quadratic its element are non negative and the sum of the columns is equal to 1. It could be shown that the product of two stochastic matrices is also stochastic. We will use this result later.

It can be proved the $r$-step transition probability matrix equal to the $r$th power of the one-step transition probability matrix:

$$Q_r=Q^r$$

(3)

The system is ergodic, we can reach every state by positive probability. On the basis of this theorem we build up the matrix which is used in our model. In this case a state corresponds to a certain condition of a set of sections which has the same type of surface, amount of traffic and quality. The number of rows (and columns) is equal to the number of discrete road states. The $q_{ij}\in Q$ is the probability that the road being in state $j$ will be in state $i$ at the end of the planning period.

Let us suppose that the initial distribution $X=(X_01, X_02, ..., X_0m)$ is known. We compute the distribution $X_1$ at the end of the planning period using the Markov matrix $Q$: 
If there is \( m \) planning period, \( t = 1, 2, \ldots, m \), then the corresponding distributions \( X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_m \) are determined by a recursive procedure:

\[
X_1 = QX_0,
\]
\[
X_2 = QX_1 = Q^2 X_0,
\]
\[
X_3 = QX_2 = Q^3 X_0,
\]
\[
\vdots \quad \vdots \quad \vdots \quad \vdots
\]
\[
X_m = QX_{m-1} = Q^m X_0.
\]

3. Model formulation

The Markov matrix depends on the pavement type, the volume of traffic and the maintenance actions.

In the model we suppose that there are \( s \) different type of pavement, \( f \) class of traffic volume and \( t \) type of maintenance politics. In this case we have \( s \times f \times t \) different \( Q \) matrix. Let us denote the Markov matrix by \( Q_{sft} \), which belongs to the pavement type \( s \), traffic class \( f \) and maintenance politics \( t \).

There are several constraints to be fulfilled. We will denote the unknown variable by \( x_{ijk} \) which belongs to the pavement type \( i \), to the traffic volume \( j \) and to the maintenance politics. The solution have to be Markov stable. The Markovian stability constraint is

\[
\sum_{i=1}^s \sum_{j=1}^f \sum_{k=1}^t (Q_{jk} - E)x_{ijk} = 0,
\]

where \( E \) is a unit matrix.

Because the equality is usually not fulfilled or not desirable, we use \( \leq \) or \( \geq \) relation instead of equality in (6). There are several further constraints which are connected with other suppositions. We suppose that the traffic volume will not change during the planning period:

\[
\sum_{k=1}^t x_{ijk} = b_{ij}, i = 1, 2, \ldots, s,
\]

\[
j = 1, 2, \ldots, f,
\]
where \( b_{ij} \) belongs to the pavement type \( i \) and the traffic volume \( j \).
The total area of the road surface type \( i \) will remain the same at the end of the planning period

\[
\sum_{j=1}^{f} \sum_{k=1}^{l} x_{ijk} = d_i, \quad i=1, 2, \ldots, s,
\]  

(8)

where \( d_i \) belongs to the pavement type \( i \) and \( \sum_{i=1}^{s} d_i = 1 \).

We have to apply one of the maintenance politics on every road section

\[
\sum_{i=1}^{s} \sum_{j=1}^{f} \sum_{k=1}^{l} x_{ijk} = 1
\]  

(9)

We divide the segments into 3 groups: acceptable (good), unacceptable (bad) and the rest. Let us denote the tree set by \( J \) (good) by \( R \) (bad) and by \( E \) (rest of the segments) and by \( H \) the whole set of segments. The relations for these sets are given by

\[
J \cap R = \emptyset, \quad J \cap E = 0, \\
R \cap E = \emptyset, \quad J \cup R \cup E = H.
\]

The following conditions are related to these sets

\[
\sum_{i,j,k \in J} x_{ijk} \geq v_J, \\
\sum_{i,j,k \in R} x_{ijk} \leq v_R, \\
\sum_{i,j,k \in E} x_{ijk} \leq v_E,
\]

(10)

where \( J, R, E \) are given above, and

- \( v_J \) the total length of the good road after the planning period
- \( v_R \) the total length of the bad road after the planning period
- \( v_E \) the lower bound of the other road
- \( \bar{v}_E \) the upper bound of the other road

The meaning of the first condition is that the amount of good segment have to be greater than or equal to a given value. The second relation does not allow more bad roads than it is fixed in advance. The third relation gives an upper and lower limit to the amount of the rest road.

Let us denote by \( c_{ijk} \) the unit cost of the maintenance politic \( k \) on the pavement type \( i \) and traffic volume \( j \). Our objective is to choose such an \( X \) which:

- fulfils the conditions given above,
- with minimal rehabilitation cost.
The objective is
\[
\sum_{i=1}^{s} \sum_{j=1}^{f} \sum_{k=1}^{t} x_{ijk} c_{ijk} \rightarrow \min!
\]
Let us denote this value by \( C \). The budget \( C^* \) which is available for the maintenance purpose is usually less than \( C \), so \( C^* < C \). In this case we modify our model: the above mentioned rehabilitation cost function becomes constrained:
\[
\sum x_{ijk} c_{ijk} \leq C^*, \quad (11)
\]
and we use another objective. Let us denote the benefit by \( h_{ijk} \) where this is the benefit of the societies when we apply on the pavement type \( i \) and with the traffic volume \( j \) the maintenance politics \( k \).

Our aim is to determine such a solution \( X \) which fulfils the constraints (6)-(10) and (11) and maximises the total benefit of the society.

The objective in this case is
\[
\sum x_{ijk} h_{ijk} \rightarrow \max! \quad (12)
\]

4. Two types of optimization models

We could build up two different types of models using the element given above. One of them is the Necessary Funds Model (NFM), the other is the Budget Bound Model (BBM). In the NFM model we determine the necessary funds needed for ensuring a given condition level of roads with minimal cost. The BBM model is used to distribute a certain amount of money with the given constraints and maximises the benefit of the road users.

The NFM model

Let us determine the unknown variable matrix \( X=(x_{ijk}) \) that fulfils the following conditions
\[
\begin{align*}
\sum_{i,j,k} (Q_{ik} - E)x_{ijk} &= 0, \\
\sum_{k} x_{ijk} &= b_{ij}, & i=1,2,\ldots,s, \\
\sum_{j} x_{ijk} &= d_{i}, & j=1,2,\ldots,f, \\
\sum_{jk} x_{ijk} &= 1, & i=1,2,\ldots,s, \\
\sum_{i,j,k} x_{ijk} &= 1,
\end{align*}
\]
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Determining the unknown matrix $X=(x_{ijk})$ which fulfills the condition (13) and the following budget limit condition:

$$\sum_{i,j,k \in J} x_{ijk} \geq v_j,$$

$$\sum_{i,j,k} x_{ijk} \leq v_R,$$

$$v_E \leq \sum_{i,j,k} x_{ijk} \leq \overline{v_E},$$

and

$$\sum_{i,j,k} x_{ijk} c_{ijk} \rightarrow \min!$$

5. Application

The two models have been applied for solving the Hungarian network level Pavement Management System. The road network is divided into smaller groups which depend on the pavement type, the traffic volume and the maintenance action. Two pavement types were taken into consideration, the asphalt concrete and the asphalt macadam. Three traffic classes were chosen. These are low, medium and high traffic category. In our model we use three type maintenance actions. Theoretically $2 \times 3 \times 3 = 18$ different categories were formed but two of them are unrealistic. So the aim was to elaborate the 16 categories. One Markov matrix belongs to each category.

The condition of a road section is described by 3 parameters: bearing capacity (5 classes), longitudinal unevenness note (3 classes), pavement surface quality note (5 classes). The number of the condition states are $3 \times 5 \times 5 = 75$. For practical reason and simplification we reduce this number to 41.

The NFM model was used to determine the necessary funds needed to held the road network a desired condition level. The available budget for that purpose was lower, that is why we use the BBM model with a fixed budget limit. Instead of the benefit $h_{ijk}$, we apply the vehicle operating cost in the objective.

Firstly we distribute the available budget country-wide according to the maintenance actions, pavement types, and traffic categories. There after we
distribute the result among regional traffic agencies. This distribution was based on the area shares of sections with given characteristics (traffic volume, pavement type, pavement condition).

Both problems can be solved by a linear program package. This package consists of two parts: data generation and optimization. The data generation uses the Hungarian Road Data Bank. Depending on the constraints a selection and a data aggregation is used to generate the proper data to the model.

The size of the matrix is quite large

- the number of columns in both models is 734 (18x41),
- the number of rows in

  NFM model is 91
  BBM model is 92.

The computer solves the problem in 1-3 minutes (on IBM PC PENTIUM) depending on the output and the structure of the matrix. The LP code was written in FORTRAN by Szantai(1990).

For the funds need calculation optimization model two strategies have been tested first.

  **Strategy 1.** The proportion of 20 pavement surfaces with wrong condition level can not be increased.

  **Strategy 2.** As Strategy 1. and the proportion of 4 pavement surfaces with the best condition levels should be increased.

The following table shows results according to the two strategies:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>routine maintenance</td>
<td>610 million HUF</td>
<td>422 million HUF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(29.3 %)</td>
<td>(2.8 %)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>surface dressing</td>
<td>646 million HUF</td>
<td>264 million HUF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(31.0 %)</td>
<td>(1.7 %)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>new asphalt layer(s)</td>
<td>826 million HUF</td>
<td>14.410 million HUF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(39.7 %)</td>
<td>(95.5 %)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>total funds need</td>
<td>2.082 million HUF</td>
<td>15.096 million HUF</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
It can be seen from the above table that if we want to preserve the proportion of the pavement surfaces with the best condition levels, the cost of the new asphalt overlay will extremely increase and the total funds need becomes also very high. On the base of these results it was decided to develop five new strategies. These are:

*Strategy 1.* Proportion of 20 wrong variants can not be increased.

*Strategy 2.* Proportion of 16 wrong variants can not be increased and the 4 worst ones should be decreased by 5%.

*Strategy 3.* As *Strategy 2* with 10%.

*Strategy 4.* As *Strategy 2* with 15%.

*Strategy 5.* As *Strategy 2* with 20%.

In these cases the total funds need went from 2.000 million HUF and they were acceptable for the administration.

When applying the funds split model it was first solved for the whole country, then for several regions (counties) separately.

When the optimal ratios (proportions) of various maintenance techniques in case of selected funds available (2000 million HUF, 3000 million HUF, 4000 million HUF, 5000 million HUF, 6000 million HUF, 7000 million HUF) the following main results were obtained:

- in case of the allocation of $3.0 \times 10^9$ HUF funds only one-third of the financial means was used for asphalt overlays, the highest share is spent for surface dressings,

- increasing the funds available, the financial means allocated to asphalt overlay considerably grow while the shares of other two intervention types, evidently, decrease;

- among the areas of various intervention types not so high percentage changes can be observed since the unit costs of routine maintenance and surface dressing gradually decrease accordingly, as together with the increase of total funds - asphalt overlay is applied on the worst sections that obtained earlier only patching or surface dressing.
When comparing the splits according to the previous and the optimized model (various levels of funds were considered here) it could be seen that a significant changing in shares of some counties presented itself as a consequence of the use of the new model. The funds available had a minor effect on the shares destined to the counties.

It was also analysed how the funds, increased by $1.0 \times 10^9$ HUF steps, influence the vehicle operating costs. There was a definite tendency that the "savings" (reduced fuel costs) were smaller and smaller as the total funds grow. This statement was, naturally, not surprising at all, because the extra funds permitted to repair not only the very poor but also the less bad sections. In the latter case, evidently, a lower fuel costs reduction could be attained by the interventions.
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